

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

---

JUSTO RICHARDS,

Plaintiff,

1:20-cv-1495 (BKS/CFH)

v.

CARL HEASTIE, et al.,

Defendants.

---

**Appearances:**

*Plaintiff pro se:*

Justo Richards  
Mid-State Correctional Facility  
P.O. Box 2500  
Marcy, NY 13403

Ulster Correctional Facility  
750 Berme Rd.  
P.O. Box 800  
Napanoch, NY 12458-0800

**Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, United States District Judge:**

**MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff Justo Richards, a former New York State inmate, commenced this proceeding under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and sought leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (Dkt. Nos. 1, 7, 8). This matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Christian F. Hummel who, on May 17, 2021, granted Plaintiff's application to proceed IFP, and issued a Report-Recommendation, recommending that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice. (Dkt. No. 13). Magistrate Judge Hummel advised Plaintiff that under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), he had fourteen days within which to file written objections to the report and that the failure to object to

the report within fourteen days would preclude appellate review. (*Id.* at 22). On May 25, 2021, the Report-Recommendation was mailed to Plaintiff's last known addresses at the Mid-State Correctional Facility, but the mailing was returned to the Court marked "Released." (Dkt. No. 14). On June 24, 2021, the Court issued a text order noting that there appeared to be addresses for Plaintiff at the Ulster County Correctional Facility and at the Mid-State Correction Facility. (Dkt. No. 15). The Court directed that the Report-Recommendation be served on Plaintiff at both addresses, and extended the time for objections to July 12, 2021. (*Id.*). No objections to the Report-Recommendation have been filed.

Local Rule 10.1(c)(2) states, in relevant part: "All . . . pro se litigants must immediately notify the Court of any change of address. Parties must file the notice of change of address with the Clerk and serve the same on all other parties to the action. The notice must identify each and every action to which the address shall apply." N.D.N.Y. L.R. 10.1(c)(2); *see also* N.D.N.Y. L.R. 41.2(b) ("Failure to notify the Court of a change of address in accordance with L.R. 10.1(c)(2) may result in the dismissal of any pending action."). "For the orderly disposition of cases, it is essential that litigants honor their continuing obligation to keep the Court informed of address changes." *Hill v. Donelli*, No. 05-cv-1245, 2008 WL 4663364, at \*1, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 110595, at \*3 (Oct. 20, 2008). In *Dansby v. Albany County Correctional Staff*, the court observed:

It is neither feasible nor legally required that the clerks of the district courts undertake independently to maintain current addresses on all parties to pending actions. It is incumbent upon litigants to inform the clerk of address changes, for it is manifest that communications between the clerk and the parties or their counsel will be conducted principally by mail. In addition to keeping the clerk informed of any change of address, parties are obliged to make timely status inquiries. Address changes normally would be reflected by those inquiries if made in writing.

No. 95-cv-1525, 1996 WL 172699, at \*1, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4782, at \*2 (N.D.N.Y. Apr. 10, 1996) (Pooler, J.) (citations omitted).

Here, Plaintiff has failed to notify the Court of any change of address, and sixty days has passed since the initial deadline for objections to the Report. As no objections to the Report-Recommendation have been filed, and the time for filing objections has expired, the Court reviews the Report-Recommendation for clear error. *See Petersen v. Astrue*, 2 F. Supp. 3d 223, 228–29 (N.D.N.Y. 2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note to 1983 amendment. Having reviewed the Report-Recommendation for clear error and found none, the Court adopts it in its entirety.

For these reasons, it is

**ORDERED** that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 13) is **ADOPTED** in its entirety; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Complaint is **DISMISSED** with prejudice; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court serve a copy of this Decision and Order on the Plaintiff in accord with the Local Rules.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 2, 2021  
Syracuse, New York

Brenda K. Sannes  
Brenda K. Sannes  
U.S. District Judge